



MEMORANDUM

NOTE DE SERVICE

TO
A

I.O. [REDACTED]

FROM
DE

Officer i/c "A" Operations

SECURITY - CLASSIFICATION - DE SÉCURITÉ
CONFIDENTIAL
OUR FILE /NOTRE RÉFÉRENCE
IP 371-1
YOUR FILE /VOTRE RÉFÉRENCE
[REDACTED]
DATE
83-11-29

SUBJECT
OBJET

Security Assessment Criteria for Access Checking

This has reference to your letter dated 83-11-17 specifically the questions in paragraph 4 thereof. The answers to these questions may be found in C.D. 35 (copy attached) and for your convenience we will indicate the specific references within the document pertaining to the questions in the memorandum.

- a. Paragraphs 2 & 3 of C.D. 35.
- b. Yes, along with motivation and commitment factors of the subject to such organization(s), and the aims of such organization(s), as well as the type of membership (rank and file, branch executive, etc.).
- c. Yes. See paragraph 2 of C.D. 35. Factors such as motivation and commitment are commented upon in the security assessment forwarded to the responsible issuing authority, but no recommendation(s) is/are made to issue or deny a security clearance.
- d. See paragraph 3 of C.D. 35, for consideration of factors bearing on membership in, or active support for, such organization by the candidate.

See paragraph 4 of C.D. 35 for considerations bearing on past membership.

See paragraphs 5 and 6 of C.D. 35 for reliability factors as it relates to suitability to hold a security clearance when questions arise respecting features of character, mental imbalance, associates, and relationship to others, such as persons residing in other countries, etc.

- e. No. See paragraphs 15-17 (incl.) of C.D. 35.

There is a review procedure, but it is not initiated by the candidate. At the present time, the candidate's only recourse is to seek redress through the Federal Court of Canada.

*QAS
P.2
30/11/83*

CONFIDENTIAL

IP 371-1

- f. Attached for your information is a self-explanatory decision handed down by the Supreme Court of Canada in the case of Ronda Lynn LEE.
- g. If this practice exists we have not been made aware of it. Our mandate is: to determine the suitability of a candidate to be placed in a position requiring access to assets classified in the national interest, and to identify the risk such placement entails. Therefore, all candidates for such positions must go to the security assessment stage. We do not assess the factors relating to general suitability for employment.
- h. Not applicable. See g. above.

C.D. 35 was declassified by authority of the Assistant Secretary to the Cabinet (Security, Intelligence and Emergency Planning) under memorandum dated 78-C8-09. An amendment was made to paragraph 17(c) and paragraph 18 was deleted on 75-05-26, however this amendment does not affect this particular correspondence. You are therefore free to discuss the contents with [REDACTED]

I trust that the foregoing and the attachments will be of assistance.

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY

(J.S. WARREN) Supt.,
Officer i/c "A" Operations.

c.c. F.S.D.

JVK/cp