

CONFIDENTIAL

S-100-4-A

Oct 66

MEMORANDUM TO MR. BRYCE

Re: Security Order

Mr. Wall and I have the following comments to make on Elmer Driedger's draft Security Order:

Para. 7.(1)(b)

Would it not be better for the Order to require the Minister rather than the Deputy Minister to prepare and deliver the document to the Security Review Committee? We suggest this not because we assume that the Minister will in fact prepare the paper but to make it consistent with the fact that the Minister is mentioned throughout the immediately preceding paragraph.

Para. 7.(1)(e) and (h)

These include the provision for the presence of counsel when the employee appears before the Security Review Committee. Our understanding was that this would not be included unless Mr. Fulton subsequently insisted that it should, and then only after Commissioner Nicholson's excellent points on this matter had been put to him. I also thought that the provision in paragraph 7.(2), that the employee could file a written statement, was designed to ensure that his facts could be presented with the guidance of a counsel.

Para. 8.

Your notes indicate that you intended that the Committee should recommend payment of reasonable expenses to the Treasury Board rather than to the Minister.



P.M.B.