

s.19(1)
BEST AVAILABLE COPY

ROYAL CANADIAN AIR FORCE
AIR FORCE POLICE

CONFIDENTIAL

DATE: 25 Apr 57

REPORT

COMMAND AMC

UNIT _____

SUBJECT ASSAULT - Sex Offences (C11C1p)
4003 NS (Amc) RCAF Stn Toronto

INCIDENT REPORTED
TO AIR FORCE POLICE
ON (Date): 29 Mar 57.

File Ref.
AFHQ _____
CHQ D 202-1-57
Gp _____
Stn _____
SIU _____
SID _____

DISPOSITION
Amount of Loss or
Damage to Property
PUBLIC _____
NON PUBLIC _____
PRIVATE _____

Amount Recovered
PUBLIC _____
NON PUBLIC _____
PRIVATE _____
ADM DEDUCTION _____

Charges
SERVICE _____
CIVIL _____
Result _____

Report
LAST INITIAL
NEXT FINAL

RCAF DFI (1955 Rev.3)
250 Pages of 500-5-55 (A713)

2 Apr 57
1 On instructions from S/L B BAIN, S CSec, ACCR, F/L BR HOBELL proceeded from St Hubert, Quebec to Toronto to conduct an investigation as requested by the CO Stn Toronto.

3 Apr 57
2 _____ was interviewed and stated that an allegation had been made by _____ that he had been the subject of an indecent assault within H4C 122, Single Airman's Quarters at 1 SD by _____. The incident had been preliminarily investigated by the AFP, 1 SD. Due to the nature of the allegation and the fact that the central figure in the accusation came under the administrative control of Stn Toronto, no further action had been taken at 1 SD. F/L R LEMAY, S1E Stn Toronto had been consulted and the decision had been made to have an investigation conducted as outlined in CAFAC C54.00/02.

3 Attached as Appendix "A" is a copy of a memorandum to the WO 4/6 AFP, 1 SD with attached statements which had been forwarded to the CO, Stn Toronto.

4 Apr 57
4 Initial enquiries were made at 1 SD and Stn Toronto in an attempt to obtain a true picture of occurrences at the time of the alleged incident.

5 The following is an extract of a written statement given by _____

"Upon reporting for duty at 0745 hrs 29 Mar 57, I was informed of the complaint of indecent assault. The report of this case together with the attached statements were handed over to the IADO. As there appeared to be a discrepancy in _____'s statement, the IADO instructed me to obtain a further statement. Statements were also taken from two airmen that were friends of _____ and to whom he had discussed the incident. At 1630 hrs, the IADO gave instructions that _____ was to be held in the Guardhouse for safe custody over the weekend. Late that evening, the SSO from Stn Toronto inquired as to why _____ was being held in custody when no charge had been laid against him. The SSO also stated that _____ had objected to being treated as a regular prisoner, i.e., dressed in coveralls and escorted to and from the combined

(Continue on other report)

s.19(1)

- 2 -

CONFIDENTIAL

D 205-1-97

BEST AVAILABLE COPY 4 Apr 57

5 (cont'd) AND REQUESTED TO AND FROM THE COOKING mess for meals, on the grounds that other personnel on the unit would think that he was under arrest for the alleged offense. Arrangements were made to have the meals brought to the Guardhouse. [redacted] remained in safe custody until 0800 hrs 31 Mar 57 when his release was authorized by the PADO.

6 The following is an extract of a written statement given by [redacted]

"At 0640 hrs on the 28 Mar 57, I received a phone call from [redacted] alleging that he had been indecently assaulted in the airman's barracks by another airman. In company with [redacted] AFPM, I went to the barracks to investigate. Upon meeting [redacted] at the north entrance, he stated as follows, "I was taking a shower when this airman when I don't know by name came in and committed an indecent assault on me. I've been drinking and didn't realize the seriousness of the offense until now. The airman concerned sleeps in room 30C and his bed is the first one on the right as you go into the room". In view of this statement, I requested [redacted] to arouse the other airman and accompany him to the guard house where further enquiries could be made. Due to the seriousness of the offense, the Orderly Officer together with the Medical officer was called. [redacted] was taken to the MEX where he was examined by the MO, after which he wrote a statement alleging the assault, after first being warned of the seriousness of the charge. The other airman, [redacted] denied the allegation as "Rubbish" and mentioned that previously he and [redacted] had an argument over a matter relating to damage done to the juke box in the airman's mess. [redacted] thought that [redacted] was trying to get revenge by making this accusation. Statements were taken from both airman and [redacted] was placed under open arrest by me, after which both airman returned to barracks. My first impression of [redacted] was that he had been drinking but was not drunk and that he knew what he was saying. [redacted] had just been awakened from sleep and was not in a clear thinking mood. The washroom where the offense was alleged to have taken place was thoroughly examined by [redacted] but no evidence was found".

7 The following is an extract of a written statement given by [redacted]

"On Friday, 29 Mar 57 at approximately 06 40 hrs in company with [redacted] I went to the airman barracks and saw [redacted] of 458 (T) Sqn. [redacted] told him that he was the MO i/c AFP at that time and asked him why he telephoned the Main Guardhouse. [redacted] said "It's pretty serious". [redacted] then asked him what he meant and he said, "Well, I was with a fellow from Section Toronto, I don't know his name, I took a shower and it was happening before I realized it". [redacted] then asked him if he meant an act of indecency had taken place. [redacted] said "Yes, that's right". [redacted] then asked him if he knew the name of the other airman, and he stated "No, I don't, but I know what room he is in. His bed is on the right as you go in". I proceeded to the room and bed as indicated by [redacted] and saw an airman I know to be [redacted]. I informed him that I was an AFP and that an allegation had been made that he had committed an act of indecency with another airman in a ground floor washroom earlier the same night. I cautioned him and he said, "Not me, I haven't been with any airman downstairs. When was this supposed to have taken place anyhow?". I reminded him that he had been cautioned and that he need not say anything. [redacted] said, "This is ridiculous, I haven't done anything with anyone. The only fellow that I've been with tonight is a fellow on 458 Sqn. If it's him, he is only doing it for spite because I reported him and his pals for breaking a machine open some

.....3

3

007677

AGC-1063_0002

s.19(1)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

B 208-1-57

(Cont'd)

AND HIS PAIR FOR BREAKING A MACHINE DOWN SOME months ago". He was informed that he would be taken to the guardhouse and detained pending further enquiries. He stated, "That's O.K. by me". Both [redacted] and [redacted] were conveyed to the guardhouse where they were interviewed by the Orderly Officer and afterward wrote statements.

5 Apr 57

8 The fact that a preliminary investigation had been carried out in contravention of CAPAC CSM.00/CE para (4) was unfortunate. The extent to which any further investigation might be hampered was difficult to evaluate but certainly some courses of information would be prejudiced and statements possibly slanted in one direction or the other. As a result, it was decided to approach the problem with two ideas in mind. One, an attempt to prove the allegation, and to assess the supporting evidence to the accusation, and the other, to obtain a comprehensive personality portrait of the suspected airman.

9 [redacted], Supply Tech, 8th Toronto, was interviewed and stated that he knew [redacted] mostly from the airman's canton where [redacted] played the piano frequently. He maintained that his was only a casual acquaintance but that [redacted] had appeared to be a normal average airman. On the evening of 28 Mar 57, [redacted] remembered drinking beer at a table near the piano in the canton. [redacted] had been playing and some couples were dancing to the music. A few casual comments had passed between the two airmen as well as requests for different musical numbers from other airmen at [redacted]'s table. He would not state the time that [redacted] had stopped playing the piano but was certain that the bar had been closed for some time and that it was late. [redacted] could offer no other pertinent information.

10 [redacted] 80 Sqn, 8th Toronto, was interviewed and stated that he was acquainted with [redacted] and that their rooms were on the top floor of the airman's barracks at 1 SD. He stated that he was not a friend of [redacted] but knew him from 8th Toronto and had watched him as he played the piano in the canton. [redacted] remembered taking a shower very early Friday morning, 29 Mar 57. He had been over in the canton and had returned to barracks around one o'clock or shortly thereafter. He had got undressed and entered the shower room at the south end of the third floor. The shower room had been empty when he entered. [redacted] then stated that he had been taking a shower for about five minutes when [redacted] also entered the room and went into the shower next to him. [redacted] came out of the shower first followed by [redacted] before [redacted] finished drying off. They had chatted in general terms. [redacted] left the shower room first. [redacted] had been preparing to leave when [redacted] had left. Later that same morning, [redacted] had asked him to confirm his presence in the shower room if questioned by the APT.

11 [redacted] 15 TFW (AMM), 8th Toronto was interviewed and stated that he was an auxiliary airman on special duty with the regular force for a period of six months. He stated that he had known [redacted] very slightly before starting the tour of special duty but he had been working with him for a period of two weeks in the 15 TFW orderly room. [redacted] had been very helpful teaching him the regular force way of doing things. Although he did not chum around with [redacted], [redacted] stated that he usually rode back and forth to 8th Toronto from Downsview with him. [redacted] further stated that although he could not define the reason, he somehow felt that he would not want to be a special friend of [redacted]. He claimed that [redacted] was very precise in whatever he was doing, almost dainty in his actions. Nevertheless, [redacted] had been very patient with him in his work, appeared to be quite even tempered. On Thursday, 28 Mar 57, he and [redacted] had been working at 15 TFW orderly room until 2300 hrs as it was auxiliary parade night. They caught the small bus back to Downsview. Arriving at about 2300 hrs., the bus delivered them directly

007678

AGC-1063_0003

BEST AVAILABLE COPY
s.19(1)

CONFIDENTIAL

11 (cont'd)

THE MS DELIVERED THEM DIRECTLY to the barrack block. They both had proceeded to [redacted] [redacted] demonstrated the approved manner of making a bed, also he had outlined procedures for washing and polishing the floor and where to locate the necessary materials. [redacted] had then left about ten minutes later. [redacted] stated he had then finished a few chores, washed the floor and went to the canteen and was talking to various people including [redacted] who was playing the piano. [redacted] claimed that he had left the canteen about 2245 hrs and [redacted] was still playing the piano. The next morning, [redacted] came up to his room and told him that another airman had made a serious accusation and told him what had happened when the AFPI's had arrived. [redacted] appeared to be worried and could not give any reason for the accusation other than the fact that he had had an argument with this other airman previously. [redacted] stated that since that time, [redacted] has appeared normal and does not mention the incident.

12 [redacted] AftTech 436 (T) Sqn, Downsview, was interviewed and stated that he had been in the canteen most of the evening of 28 Mar 57. He had been drinking quite heavily but claimed to have been in possession of his faculties. [redacted] stated that he had returned to the barracks around 0100 or 0130 hrs and almost as soon as he entered the building, [redacted] had approached him and claimed that another airman had attacked him. When asked if he could remember [redacted] exact words, [redacted] stated that he was not positive but thought that [redacted] had used the term "blow job". [redacted] further stated that [redacted] had appeared to him to be reasonably sober but nervous and 'mixed-up' at the time. [redacted] has known [redacted] since August 1956 and has been friendly with him since that time. When [redacted] had made this statement, [redacted] accepted the story without question and had helped [redacted] look for the airman. [redacted] had claimed that he knew what the other airman looked like. Informant could offer no further pertinent information.

13 [redacted] AftTech 436 (T) Sqn, Downsview, was interviewed and asked to review events of the evening of 28 Mar 57 leading up to the alleged incident. [redacted] was in a slightly nervous state when first interviewed and appeared to be trying to remember what he had written in his statement to the AFPI. He followed the general line of his previous statement but qualified some details and appeared much less positive as to what had occurred. He stated that he had spent most of the evening in the canteen and he had had a lot to drink. He remembered walking back to the barracks some time around 0100 hrs, 29 Mar 57. He stated that he thought that he had accompanied some other airman back to the barracks and that he thought that the airman was the man now known to him as [redacted]. He was not prepared to state positively on either account. When asked to describe his movements step by step after he had arrived at the barracks, [redacted] stated that he thought that he had gone directly to his room, undressed and had then proceeded to the washroom. He appeared to have difficulty in remembering whether he had taken a shower or a bath, finally deciding that it had been a bath. He could not remember whether the bath or shower room was empty when he arrived but thought that it had been. He could not remember if he had latched the steel door leading to the bath room off the showers although he stated that he usually did so. He could not remember any details such as hanging up his shorts and towel, running the water or drying himself after the bath. [redacted] stated that he clearly remembered that his penis was sore. He claimed to remember the airman now known as [redacted] bending down in front of him, although he could not remember whether he was still in the bath tub, sitting on the side of the tub, or standing when this had happened. He further claimed that he remember someone, presumably [redacted] spitting into the bath tub. He could not remember details as to when he left the washroom, nor if anyone was with him, had left before he had, or whether anyone remained in the washroom. He stated that it had occurred to him that something had happened only after he was back in his room. [redacted] stated that upon realizing that he had been the victim of an attack, he had gone out into the hall and had not [redacted] just entering the barracks. [redacted] was vague as to his description of the occurrence and as to what

*****5

- 2 - CONFIDENTIAL

13 (cont'd)

HIS DESCRIPTION OF THE OCCURRENCE AND AS TO WHAT he had said to WITSON. He stated that [redacted] had suggested a call be made to the APT and that someone had suggested looking in all the rooms until the airman was located. [redacted] stated that he remembered searching the rooms on the second and third floor. When they opened the door of 808 on the third floor, [redacted] was in bed and was reading. [redacted] was vague as to what conversation took place but he was certain that he had not accused [redacted] at that time. After leaving the room, he had indicated to [redacted] that they had located the airman and he had gone to the pay phone and called the APT. When asked what reaction was felt after the alleged assault, [redacted] stated that he felt embarrassed. There was no indication of animosity during [redacted] interview. To all questions as to a clarification of any point, [redacted] stated that he did not or could not remember and that he was probably so drunk at the time that he could not think clearly. He was asked to clarify his allegation that an airman had taken his penis in his mouth, he stated that he thought this had happened but could not say how it had actually occurred. Nevertheless, he was positive in his identification of [redacted] as the airman involved. He further claimed to have no knowledge of any incident with regard to damage of a juke box in the canteen. [redacted] claimed to have known [redacted] previously only as a chap that played the piano often.

14 [redacted], both of 436 (Y) Sqn, Downsview, could offer no pertinent information.

15 P/L RT BARNETT, 436 (Y) Sqn who was the Orderly Officer, 1 SD, the night of the incident was not available for interview. He had proceeded to Resolute Bay for a period of three weeks the following day.

16 WO 1/c APT, 1 SD, was interviewed and stated that he had been absent on a short leave during the time of the incident. He further stated there was no record during the last six months of a complaint with regard to damage to a juke box in the airman's canteen. However, WO MITCHELL added a call could have been made and possibly disregarded, especially if the patrol had been away from the guard house.

17 [redacted], AFMn, 1 SD, was interviewed and stated that he had been suspicious since [redacted] had been in detention in the guardhouse during Feb 1967. He further stated that [redacted] had been a good worker when in detention but that he had an odd way of doing things. [redacted] stated that [redacted] did not act like other airmen. That he didn't swear, 'fool around' as other airmen often did, and was very fastidious when eating. [redacted] further stated that he had from time to time offered reading material in the form of popular magazines such as MILE, SEAS and others, but [redacted] had claimed that he did not read that kind of magazine. Later noticed [redacted] reading a TIME CONFESIONS magazine. [redacted] thought that important.

8 Apr 67

18 Information available on [redacted] is as follows:

- (a) Age, 21
- (b) Religion, Baptist
- (c) Next of kin - [redacted]

(d) TOS [redacted]

*****5

s.19(1)

- 8 - CONFIDENTIAL

18 (cont'd)

TORONTO (4403) 15 Jan 56.

D 325-1-57

(e) [redacted]
(c) [redacted]
(g) [redacted]
(h) [redacted]

Charge Sheet - One entry
7 days detention from 20 Feb to 26 Feb 57
MDA 119 - Assault causing bodily harm
Awarded by the CC Stn Toronto.

19 Investigation revealed that [redacted] had lived in barracks at 1 SD when first transferred to Stn Toronto until 1 Dec 56 when subsistence allowance was granted upon request due to a shortage of quarters. Accommodation had been obtained at the residence of [redacted] Toronto, near Stn Toronto. As a result of a charge of assault upon [redacted] over money used for back rent, subsistence allowance was disallowed and [redacted] had moved back into barracks at the expiration of seven days detention.

20 [redacted] was interviewed at her home and stated that [redacted] had roomed at that location from 1 Dec 56 until 14 Feb 57 except for a period of leave from 29 Dec to 19 Jan 57. [redacted] stated that [redacted] had been a normal roomer. Very quiet, not overly friendly and appeared to keep regular hours. [redacted] had shared his room for a short period of time with a [redacted]. After [redacted] returned from leave, [redacted] stated that he had fallen behind in his rent and owed \$27.00. He had promised to catch up in his rent but continued to pay only current amounts. [redacted] further stated that [redacted] decided that he could not afford to live out of barracks and had notified [redacted] that he was leaving and that he would send her the money that was owing. [redacted] claimed that he had just been paid and that she had attempted to stop him. [redacted] claimed that [redacted] suddenly lost his temper, went into a rage and shoved [redacted] away from him and down onto the floor. According to [redacted], he bent down and continued to shake her by the shoulders in a blind rage stating words to the effect, "You are not going to stop me". [redacted] is an elderly woman and stated that she had been quite nervous and frightened at the time but managed to bring [redacted] to his senses by calling his name and asking him to stop. [redacted] had then relented and held out his hand and wanted to shake hands. This testimony was given to the CC, Stn Toronto on the occasion of a complaint laid by [redacted] still owes the amount of \$27.00, according to [redacted] further stated that [redacted] occasionally had a visitor that stayed over night with him in his room. This visitor was reputed to be a cousin of [redacted] from London, Ontario, and was described as about [redacted] age.

21 [redacted] Clerk, Stn Toronto was interviewed after requesting assurance that the information that he was about to give would be treated as a confidential matter. He stated that previous to meeting at [redacted] house, he had been [redacted] around Stn Toronto but had not been well acquainted. When [redacted] had returned off leave and had gone to his former rooming house, he found that all rooms were temporarily full. [redacted] offered to share his room until another was available with a possible saving on room rent. The two airmen shared the room and one double bed for about two weeks. [redacted] further stated that on the first night in bed, [redacted] had made advances by putting his hand on [redacted] leg and attempted to fondle the genital organs. [redacted] was discouraged from his attempt without any unpleasantness and the two airmen talked about sex. [redacted] claimed that he was over-sized and needed a woman. In [redacted] opinion he appeared to be sexually disturbed. [redacted] made several attempts of the same nature during the time the airmen shared the room but each time was rebuffed by [redacted] without any argument or difficulty. [redacted] is still friendly with [redacted] and claims that he is a good fellow but needs help.

*****7

s.19(1)

- 7 -

CONFIDENTIAL

D 202-2-57

21 (cont'd)

AND CLAIMS THAT HE IS A GOOD FELLOW BUT NEEDS HELP. [redacted] stated that [redacted] is slightly effeminate in his manners and actions but has an extremely volatile temper. [redacted] also stated that he had met a chap reputed to be a cousin of [redacted], but could not remember his name. The [redacted] was asserted to be a bank clerk from London and was around [redacted] with [redacted]. [redacted] stated that this chap had stayed overnight two or three times with [redacted] after he [redacted] had moved to another room. [redacted] stated that he thought that the cousin was an ex-Flight Cadet in the RCAF but had been released for some reason.

22 [redacted], Cpl Typ, 15 TTS (Aux), Stn Toronto, was interviewed and stated that he had also roomed at the residence of [redacted]. [redacted] reported [redacted] as a woman who charged the airmen \$7.00 per week for a room and then asked them to double up so that she could charge \$6.00 each for a total of \$10.00. [redacted] was friendly with both [redacted] and [redacted] and stated that he knew nothing untoward concerning either man. He stated that [redacted] was an avid reader and had a good library consisting of Book of the Month Club novels, an encyclopedia, travel books and one or two medical books on the subject of general health and the human body. [redacted] stated that [redacted] had no hypochondriac tendencies that were evident. [redacted] stated that he had worked closely with [redacted] in the 2 Group (Aux) orderly room. He reported that [redacted] was very meticulous and exacting in his work. Very definite in his ideas but always willing to help. As a result of his working methods, [redacted] was not appreciated by some of his co-workers especially by those who did not take the same interest in the work. [redacted] claimed that [redacted] appeared to be suffering from an inferiority complex and is always trying to prove himself. He appeared to have no very close friends, but is respected for his intelligence. [redacted] described [redacted] as very neat with an almost passionate urge for cleanliness. [redacted] had told him of his ability to play a pipe organ and that he had played for church services when in London. [redacted] further stated that [redacted] did not mention the accusation when they had been working together Sunday morning, 31 Mar 57. Later in the day, [redacted] had informed him of the trouble and told him that he had written a memorandum requesting his release from the RCAF. [redacted] claimed that he felt very uncomfortable due to talk around the barracks that he had an affair with a blond airman. [redacted] told him having trouble previously with this man [redacted] and claimed that the whole thing was a vengeful act. At that time, [redacted] had been positive that he was going to be on charge and as a result of the previous charge just a month before, that he would be sent up for another stretch of detention. Since that Sunday, however, [redacted] had not mentioned anything further other than that he had decided not to submit the memorandum as it might be taken as an admission of guilt.

23 [redacted], Stn Toronto, a room mate of [redacted] in Room 206 was interviewed and [redacted] stated that he had just moved into Room 206 on 4 Apr 57. He could offer no pertinent information.

24 [redacted], IAN, Stn Toronto, a room mate of [redacted] in Room 206 was interviewed and stated that he had been awakened the night of the incident when the AFP had come to question [redacted]. The following morning, [redacted] told him of the accusation and had strongly denied the whole thing. [redacted] further stated that he had very little in common with [redacted] as their interests were not the same. Stated that [redacted] appears to be a very tidy individual and a station type. He has a bookshelf filled with novels, encyclopedias and other books. [redacted] does not frequent the canteen but has contacts in the city and [redacted] is usually in bed when he comes back to the room. He could not remember if [redacted] had been in bed when he arrived back around midnight on the evening of 28 Mar 57.

*****8

007682

AGC-1063_0007

s.19(1)

- 3 -

CONFIDENTIAL

24 (cont'd)

D 222-1-57

BACK ABOVE NINE EIGHT ON THE EVENING OF 26 MAR 57.

25 [redacted] Cpl Adm Supr, 400 (F) Sqn (ADM), Stn Toronto, was interviewed and stated that he had been [redacted]'s superior as NCO i/c of 2 Gp Orderly Room. He regarded [redacted] as an extremely clever airman, much superior to the average clerk in the RCAF. [redacted] described him as very meticulous in dress and clean but not to an extent where it became an obsession. [redacted] had known [redacted] since Oct 56 and described him as inclined to be opinionated but is straight forward and is usually correct. He owns the dislike of some others for this trait. [redacted] claimed that [redacted] had been recommended for the rank of acting Corporal. [redacted] also claims that [redacted] is worried about financial matters at home and has sent money to his mother. No mention is made of his father. [redacted] has one brother in the RCAF at London, with the rank of [redacted], christian name not known, one sister, and another brother who lives in Mexico. [redacted] visited this brother while on leave in January. [redacted] further stated that [redacted] had a good collection of records, mostly of a classical nature and was interested in high fidelity recording equipment. On Sunday, 31 Mar 57, [redacted] had shown [redacted] a memorandum for submission to the GO IS ITN requesting his release from the RCAF. He had given as grounds for his request the fact that the stigma of such an accusation as had been made against him would follow him throughout the service. Although [redacted] claimed the accusation to be false, he was resigned to the thought that he would be convicted. To [redacted]'s knowledge, the memorandum had not been submitted.

26 Inquiries made at Stn St Hubert and Stn Uplands have failed to add any pertinent information to the investigation. Although it was learned that [redacted] was active in boys' work at St Hubert and served as Cubmaster during his stay at that station. [redacted] had also been active in Scouts and Cubs work at Stn MacDonald where he acted in the capacity of Assistant Cubmaster.

27 A comprehensive investigation has established that the allegation cannot be proven or refuted at this time and in effect must stand. However, investigation has also established that supporting evidence to the allegation is lacking and the whole evolves into a matter of one airman's word against the other. The investigation has shown quite clearly that [redacted] had been drinking on the evening of 26 Mar 57 in such a manner as to cause his faculties to become impaired to some extent. The credibility of a statement taken under such circumstances would be open to question.

28 The implications involved in making an allegation of this type factiously or without foundation of fact are such that a person must be capable of extreme callousness and moral insensibility to consider such an act. [redacted] record in the RCAF fails to show such tendency. Whatever may have happened in Bldg 122 at 1 SQ, Downsview, on the night in question must remain a matter of pure conjecture at present.

29 The personality of [redacted] emerges as that of an individualist with varied shadings of behavior tendencies that might be traced to his upbringing and training. The suggestion of a homosexual problem exists but is completely circumstantial in character. No attempt was made to interview [redacted] as further investigation of a psychiatric nature may be initiated at the discretion of the SMO, Stn Toronto.

30 C O C L I N E D H E R E .

[Signature]
(M. Rowell) F/L.
ACSM
St. Hubert, Quebec.

007683

AGC-1063_0008